

### **EACT**

# **Monthly Report on Regulatory Issues**

Date issued: 7 October 2016









Hrvatska udruga korporativnih rizničara Croatian Association of Corporate Treasurers

































This report has been designed for, and with the support of, the above National Treasury Associations. Its purpose is to provide information about European financial regulation impacting corporate treasurers.

Despite all efforts, some information in this report could contain errors or be subject to interpretation. The EACT or National Treasury Associations should not be held liable.

Any comment or opinion in this report is that of the EACT alone and should not be taken as representing the views of either individual National Treasury Associations or of any of the individual companies with which the EACT discusses regulatory affairs.



# **Executive Summary**

Topic and summary of content and EACT position	Latest developments
<ul> <li>European Market Infrastructure Regulation (EMIR):         <ul> <li>Regulation to push derivatives trading on exchanges</li> <li>Corporates' hedging transactions exempted from clearing obligation but subject to reporting, portfolio reconciliation, portfolio compression and dispute resolution obligations</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	The Commission adopted the Regulatory     Technical Standards for margining of non- centrally cleared OTC derivatives
International and EU-level rules on capital, liquidity and leverage requirements for banks	<ul> <li>The Basel Committee is conducting work to review the way banks calculate risk-weighted assets, and intends to finalise the work by the end of the year. European banks have fiercely opposed the draft rules, as they say it would increase their capital requirements</li> <li>The European Commission is expected to issue a legislative proposal for CRD V / CRR II by the ed of the year in order to implement the leverage ratio, the Net Stable Funding Ratio (NSFR) and Total Loss-Absorbing Capacity (TLAC). The current design of the NSFR, with a 20% add-on for derivative transactions, could lead to significant price increases for derivative products.</li> </ul>
Money Market Funds (MMF) Regulation:	Trilgues are ongoing
European Commission proposal to regulate MMFs includes e.g. a mandatory capital	



OF CORPORATE TREASURERS	
buffer for CNAV funds, ban on external credit ratings and limitations to instruments in which MMFs can invest in	
The proposal was adopted by the Commission in September 2013. The Parliament has	
now agreed on its position (which relaxes some of the requirements in the original	
Commission proposal) but the Council still needs to agree on its position.	
EACT position concentrates on the importance of ensuring the availability MMFs (both)	
CNAV and VNAV) and arguing against the ban of credit ratings	
Financial Transaction Tax (FTT):	No progress has been reported
<ul> <li>A proposal to tax a large variety of equity and bond transactions in 11 EU Member</li> </ul>	
States under the 'enhanced cooperation' approach	
<ul> <li>The proposal has been subject to widespread criticism (including its legality) and it is</li> </ul>	
expected that should an FTT be implemented at any stage, it would be much more	
restricted in scope than originally proposed	
<ul> <li>EACT strongly opposed as FTT amounts to a tax on the real economy</li> </ul>	
Financial Benchmark Regulation:	<ul> <li>ESMA is consulting on the draft RTSs for the</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>Proposal of the Commission to regulate the administration and the contribution to</li> </ul>	Benchmark Regulation
financial benchmarks	
<ul> <li>Would impose mandatory contributions to certain benchmarks (EURIBOR and LIBOR)</li> </ul>	
and would impose liability for those contributions in certain cases	
EACT position will underline the importance of contract continuity and coherence of EU	
action with international developments	
Bank Structural Separation (Barnier / Liikanen rule)	The Parliament ECON Committee has not
<ul> <li>Proposal of the Commission to ban proprietary trading and to have the possibility of</li> </ul>	progressed towards an agreement, and
separating banks' other trading activities into a separate entity; separation would not	Commissioner Dombrovskis will meet with ECON
be automatically forced but bank supervisors would have to decide case by case. The	members to try to re-start the negotiations
planned Regulation would only apply to the biggest banks.	



# <u>List of ongoing consultations / surveys / studies:</u>

Title	Website	Deadline
EBA consultation on strong customer	Consultation paper	12 October
authentication and secure communications under		
PSD2		
ESMA consultation on the trading obligation for	Consultation page	21 November 2016
derivatives under MiFIR		
ESMA consultation on draft RTSs and ITSs under	Consultation page	30 November 2016
the Securities Financing Transactions Regulation		
and amendments to related EMIR RTSs		
ESMA consultation on draft technical standards	Consultation page	2 December 2016
under the Benchmark Regulation		

Note: For ease of reading, updates compared to the previous report are in bold font.



OTC Derivatives - European Market Infrastructure Regulation (EMIR)		
Content and legislative status	Latest developments	Issues from treasury perspective / EACT position
EMIR was adopted on 4 July 2012 and entered into force on 16 August 2012. It requires the central clearing of all standardised OTC derivatives contracts, margins for non-centrally cleared contracts and the reporting of all derivatives contracts to trade repositories.  EMIR contains different start dates for the various obligations and the obligations for NFC- (portfolio compression, trade reporting) are already in place. Central clearing should gradually start as of April 2016, with NFC+s having a three-year phase-in period.	The Commission is still expected to propose a targeted legislative review of EMIR, although the recent resignation of Commissioner Hill is expected to delay the work slightly; one of the areas that the Commission is looking into in the review is the reporting burden on NFCs  ESMA/ EBA/ Commission:  The Commission adopted the Regulatory Technical Standards on the rules for margin for non-centrally cleared transactions. The Parliament and the Council now have three-month objection period after which the rules will be published in the Official Journal (if no objections are raised by the co-legislators). The rules will enter into force 20 days after the publication in the Official Journal and the implementation of the rules will start one month after the entry into force.  The Regulatory Technical Standards on the central clearing of interest rate derivatives were published I the Official Journal on 1 December. The clearing	



OTC Derivatives - European Market Infrastructure Regulation (EMIR)		
obligation timetable:	will be phased in according to the following	
0	Category 1 (FCs and NFCs that are direct members of a CCP): 21 June 2016	
0	Category 2 (FCs and Alternative Investment Funds not included in category 1): 21 December 2016	
0	Category 3 (FCs and Alternative Investment Funds not included in	
•	<b>5</b> , (	
	above categories): 21 December 2018	

- EMIR Regulation
- All relevant texts (RTSs, ITSs etc.) are available on the Commission EMIR website



Money Market Funds (MMFs) Regulation		
Content and legislative status	Latest developments	Issues from treasury perspective / EACT position
<ul> <li>The Commission proposal for Regulation would impose amongst others the following:         <ul> <li>A requirement on CNAV MMFs to have a cash "buffer" equivalent to 3 percent of their assets</li> <li>binding rules on the types of assets MMFs can invest in</li> <li>limits on how much business MMFs can do with a single counterparty, and restrictions on short selling</li> <li>A ban for MMFs to solicit external ratings</li> </ul> </li> <li>The Parliament ECON Committee did not reach a compromise on the text. The work will therefore continue in the autumn under the new Parliament.</li> <li>The new ECON committee is not likely to re-start the work on the file before September-October at the earliest. A new Rapporteur will have to be appointed as the previous Rapporteur (Said El Khadraoui) was not re-elected.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>The trilogue negotiations are ongoing and the Slovak Presidency would like to see and agreement on the file before the end of the year.</li> <li>The main elements of the Council's negotiating position relevant to treasurers are:         <ul> <li>Two specific types of CNAV funds would be allowed to continue to operate in the EU: i) funds that invest 99,5% of their assets in government debt and ii) funds that have specific investor base outside the EU</li> <li>Introduction of a new category of funds, Low Volatility NAV funds (LVNAVs), similarly to the Parliament's position. However the Council proposes no 'sunset clause' to these funds but an evaluation of their functioning after five years. Current CNAV funds would have to convert to LVNAV funds within two years</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	<ul> <li>It should be ensured that LVNAV funds can have same day liquidity</li> <li>Sunset clause on LVNAV funds which would make fund managers reluctant to offer such a product</li> </ul>



Money Market Funds (MMFs) Regulation
from the entry into force (or become CNAV funds as described above)  Both LVNAVs and CNAVs would have to have liquidity fees and redemption gates in place  The main elements of the Parliament position are as follows:  CNAV funds would be allowed in two cases only: those with retail investors only (not open for subscription by corporates) and those which invest in EU government debt  In addition to this a new category of funds will be created called Low Volatility NAV funds which would also be allowed to show a stable share price. These funds would be allowed to use amortised cost accounting only for assets of maturity up to 90 days.
<ul> <li>For both CNAV funds and LVNAV funds there will be redemption gates and fees.</li> </ul>



Money Market Funds (MMFs) Regulation		
	<ul> <li>External credit ratings would be allowed, contrarily to what was originally proposed by the Commission</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>Key documents:         <ul> <li>Commission proposal for regulating MMFs</li> <li>IOSCO Policy Recommendations for MMFs</li> </ul> </li> <li>Parliament position on MMFs</li> </ul>		



<u>Financial Transaction Tax (FTT)</u>		
Content and legislative status	Latest developments	Issues from treasury perspective / EACT position
Council agreed to the "enhanced cooperation" procedure between 11 Member States (Belgium, Germany, Estonia, Greece, Spain, France, Italy, Austria, Portugal, Slovenia and Slovakia) at the end of January.  The Commission issued a proposal for a Directive on 14 February 2013 (see also the press release and the Questions & Answers).  The new proposal is based on the previous text presented in 2011 with some amendments and to have the following main aspects:  • The scope of instruments covered is very broad including shares and bonds at 0.1% and derivatives at 0.01%. CFDs, equity derivatives, depository receipts, money market instruments, structured products are also covered. The applicable rates are minimum harmonized rate levels paving the way for individual countries to possibly adopt higher levels. Furthermore, cascade effects could make the effective rate higher as the transactions would be taxed separately from different market participants at different stages.  • The FTT would cover the purchase and sale of the financial instrument before netting and settlement and it would be applied on the basis of a	No substantial progress in the negotiations has been reported. The finance ministers of the ten participating Member States will discuss FTT in the margins of the ECOFIN meeting mid-October, which is the latest deadline set for achieving an agreement. Belgium, Slovenia and Slovakia are reported to be potentially wanting to drop out from the enhanced cooperation.	



Financial Transaction Tax (FTT)		
Content and legislative status	Latest developments	Issues from treasury perspective / EACT position
combination of the residence principle and the		
location of the where the financial instrument is		
issued.		
The proposal also provides for implementing acts		
regarding uniform collection methods of the FTT		
and the participating countries would have to adopt		
appropriate measures to prevent tax evasion,		
avoidance and abuse.		
There will be an exemption for primary market		
transactions (i.e. subscription/issuance).		
The extra-territorial impact of the FTT could be very wide		
due to the design of the tax: an FTT Zone financial		
institution's branches worldwide will be subject to the FTT		
on all of their transactions and non-FTT Zone financial		
institutions will be taxed for transactions with parties in the		
FTT Zone, and whenever they deal in securities issued by an		
FTT zone entity.		

- Commission proposal
- Commission Impact Assessment; Summary of Impact Assessment
- EACT position paper



<u>Financial benchmarks</u>		
Content and legislative status	Latest developments	Issues from treasury perspective / EACT position
Benchmark Regulation:		
	ESMA is consulting on the draft technical	
The Benchmark Regulation aims to improve governance,	standards for the Benchmark Regulation. The	
transparency and calculation methodology for financial	consultation runs until 2 December.	
benchmarks. The Regulation requires benchmark		
administrators to obtain authorisation from their	The Benchmark Regulation was published in the	
competent authority and adhere to different requirement,	Official Journal. The Regulation will apply as of	
e.g. concerning internal governance and benchmark	January 2018.	
methodology. Benchmark contributors will have to make		
mandatory contributions in some cases (to critical	The Commission has adopted the first	
benchmarks) and will have to respect a code of conduct.	Implementing Regulation establishing a list of	
Users (such as corporates) will only be able to use EU	critical benchmarks pursuant to the Benchmark	
authorized benchmarks. Concerning non-EU benchmarks,	Regulation. EURIBOR is the only listed critical	
these may be used in the EU only if they are based in	benchmark for the moment.	
jurisdictions deemed equivalent by the EU, have been		
recognised by a Member State or have been endorsed by	The LIBOR administrator ICE published its	
an EU administrator.	Roadmap for ICE LIBOR. The main points in the	
The final compromise text of the Benchmark Regulation	Roadmap of relevance to corporate treasurers	
was adopted in December 2015 but still needs to be	are as follows:	
published in the Official Journal and will be of application		
18 months thereafter.	<ul> <li>LIBOR will use a 'waterfall' of</li> </ul>	
Review of LIBOR and EURIBOR:	submission methodologies to ensure	
	that LIBOR panel banks use real	
Libor and Euribor administrators are reforming the	transaction data where possible on one	



<u>Financial benchmarks</u>		
Content and legislative status	Latest developments	Issues from treasury perspective / EACT position
benchmarks, more information on the EMMI website (euribor) and ICE website (libor)	hand and on the other hand ensure that LIBOR will continue to be published regardless of activity levels on a particular day. ICE states that the planned measures are unlikely to cause issues of legal continuity.  • Transactions with corporations as counterparties to a bank's funding transactions are included in the list of eligible transactions but only for maturities greater than 35 calendar days. Transactions will be used with no premium or discount to adjust the transacted prices.  • Transactions from an expanded list of funding centres will be used  • Publication time will remain 11.45 London time; the collection window will be the period since the previous submission. The transactions from the previous day will be volume-weighted lower compared to weighting of transactions from the same day.  • Minimum transaction size will be:	



<u>Financial benchmarks</u>		
Content and legislative status	Latest developments	Issues from treasury perspective / EACT position
	overall minimum thresholds of USD / EUR / GBP / CHF 10m (or JPY 1,000m)	·
Key documents:		

**Benchmark Regulation** 



Content and legislative status	Latest developments	Issues from treasury perspective / EACT position
The Commission has adopted a proposal for Regulation, which contains the following main aspects:  • Banning of proprietary trading • Potential separation of certain trading activities (market making, OTC derivatives trading, complex securitized products etc.) The banking supervisor would monitors banks' activities and could require a separation of these activities into a separate entity.  The Regulation would apply only to the biggest banks, i.e. those deemed to be of global systemic importance or those exceeding 30 billion euros in total assets and trading activities either exceeding 70 billion euros or 10% of the bank's total assets.  The Commission adopted its proposal on 29 January which will be subject to the ordinary legislative procedure. According to the proposal the proprietary trading ban would apply as of 1 January 2017 and the separation of other trading activities as of 1 July 2018.	The Parliament ECON Committee has not progressed towards and agreement, but Commissioner Dombrovskis has expressed the wish to discuss the file with ECON members in order to re-start the negotiations.  The Council has already adopted its negotiating position. The Council position proposes substantial changes to the original Commission proposal, and would apply only to banks deemed of global systemic importance or banks that exceed certain thresholds for trading etc. The Council position includes amongst others the following:  • Mandatory separation of proprietary trading • Other trading activities would be subject to an assessment by competent supervisors who could request a separation to a trading unit or additional prudential measures, if risks are considered excessive.	<ul> <li>Impact on market-making</li> <li>Impact on the availability of OTC derivatives as core (retail) institutions would not be able to offer OTC derivatives to their nonfinancial customers</li> <li>Impact on pricing</li> </ul>



Regulation on structural measures improving the resilience of EU credit institutions (structural separation of banks)	
	As advocated by the EACT, non-cleared     OTC derivatives would not be part of     the activities subject to a possible     separation.

- Text of the proposal
- Impact assessment:
  - o <u>Executive Summary</u>
  - o <u>Full text</u>



Content and legislative status	Latest developments	Issues from treasury perspec EACT position
SFTR aims to reduce risks and improve the transparency	ESMA is consulting on the Regulatory	
linked to securities financing transactions (includes repos,	Technical Standards for SFTR until 30	
reverse repos and stock lending). All transactions should be reported to a central database (similarly to EMIR with the	November.	
details to be defined by ESMA). This obligation applies to both	The SFT Regulation was published in the	
financial and non-financial counterparties.	Official Journal. The reporting regime will	
The regulation also imposes increased transparency and conditions on rehypothecation (reuse of collateral by the collateral-taker for their own purposes)	be put in place gradually, from May 2018 to February 2019.	

• <u>Text of the Regulation in the Official Journal</u>



Capit	tal Markets Union	
Content and legislative status	Latest developments	Issues from treasury perspective / EACT position
The Capital Markets Union (CMU) is a plan of the European Commission that aims to create deeper and more integrated capital markets in the 28 Member States of the EU.  With the CMU, the Commission will explore ways of reducing fragmentation in financial markets, diversifying financing sources, strengthening cross border capital flows and improving access to finance for businesses, particularly SMEs.  The CMU is a multi-year project and is likely to include a variety of legislative and non-legislative measures. The short-term actions include work on securitisation, Prospectus Directive and private placements. The longer term work includes actions on company, insolvency, securities and tax laws.	CMU:  • The Commission issued a  communication on CMU. The communication contains few new proposals or elements but highlights amongst other the following:  • The need to step up efforts and finalise the first CMU measures (legislative proposals on securitisation, prospectus)	Ener position
As part of the CMU Action Plan, the Commission proposed in November to review the <a href="Prospectus Directive">Prospectus Directive</a> (the prospectus regime defines the format and the content of the legal document that has to be drafted by companies wishing to raise funds on capital markets by issuing securities (shares, bonds) that are offered to the public or are admitted to trading on a regulated market). The aim of the Commission is to streamline the prospectus regime and to make the issuance of shares and bonds easier for companies. The main changes compared to the current regime are as follows:  • the new regime will take the form of a Regulation, which aims at harmonising national differences in application and	Next phase of CMU actions: Commission plans to issue a proposal on business restructuring as well as on the debt- equity bias. Further work is planned regarding reforms for sustainable finance where the Commission is planning to establish an expert	



#### **Capital Markets Union**

implementation

- "passporting" prospectuses from one Member State to another to become easier
- thresholds for exemption are increased: no prospectus would be needed if the securities offering is between 500 000 and 10 million euros
- stricter rules concerning the length and the content of the summary
- limits to the section concerning risk (risks listed can only be 'material and specific to the issuer and securities')
- lighter regime for secondary issuances

group to develop a comprehensive European strategy on green finance.

 The Parliament adopted a <u>resolution</u> on access to finance for SMEs and increasing the diversity of SME funding in a Capita Markets Union

#### **Prospectus review:**

The Parliament adopted its
 report on the Prospectus review.
 The Parliament position includes
 certain positive developments
 from corporates' perspective,
 such as less stringent
 requirements regarding the
 disclosure of risk factors and
 regarding the prospectus
 summary. The trilogues are
 expected to start at the end of
 October.

The Council reached a general approach on the Prospectus file. The Council's approach would maintain the current



## **Capital Markets Union** waiver for producing a prospectus for companies selling securities in denominations of €100,000 or more, contrarily to what the Commission had proposed. Other elements of relevance to treasurers: • the summary would be limited to six pages, cross references to other parts of the prospectus would be prohibited • there would be no obligation to allocate risks according to materiality and probability of occurrence in the summary (as was discussed by the Council); however the risk factors would be limited to 10 Key documents: • Commission CMU website (all relevant documents are available here)



Credit Rating Agencies  Credit Rating Agencies		
Content and legislative status	Latest developments	Issues from treasury perspective , EACT position
ESMA consulted on competition, choice and conflicts of interests in the credit rating industry. This consultation starts the formal review of the CRA Regulation currently in place and ESMA is expected to draft a report to the Commission in the autumn with its recommendations. The Commission could then propose a legislative review in 2016.	ESMA <u>published</u> its technical advice and a report to the Commission on the regulation of credit rating agencies. ESMA does not seem to make specific recommendations on issues such as mandatory rotation of agencies and business model. ESMA also published a report on reducing mechanistic reliance on credit ratings, and recommends that rather than removing all references to credit ratings in EU and national legislation, future action should focus on improving information, data and tools so that rating users can carry out their own assessments, therefore reducing mechanistic reliance on ratings.  Based on the ESMA report and other inputs, the Commission is due to report at the beginning of next year on the CRA Regulation to the Parliament and the Council.	

• ESMA consultation page



<u>Payments Package</u>		
Content and legislative status	Latest developments	Issues from treasury perspective / EACT position
Revision of the Payment Services Directive (PSD):  The main changes introduced by PSD2 are the following:  Banning of surcharging on payment cards covered by the MIF Regulation  Inclusion of third-party payment service providers in the scope  Extension of the scope of the PSD e.g. where at least the payer's PSP is acting from within the EEA / extension to all currencies  Regulation on card interchange fees:  The Regulation will impose mandatory caps for card interchange fees: for debit card payments, the cap will be 0.2% for crossborder transactions and 0.2% of weighted average for national payments; for credit cards the cap will be 0.3% of the transaction value.	The EBA is consulting on Regulatory Technical Standards on strong customer authentication on secure communication under PSD2. The consultation runs until 12 October 2016. The draft RTSs proposed by the EBA would mandate the use of strong customer authentication when initiating credit transfers and card payments or when simply accessing an account for information purposes (the sole use of risk- based or targeted authentication would not be permitted).	

• Payment Services Directive 2

Regulation on interchange fees for card-based payment transactions



Transatlantic Trade and Investment Partnership (TTIP)		
Content and legislative status	Latest developments	Issues from treasury perspective / EACT position
Trade agreement currently being negotiated between the EU and the US. The aim is to remove trade barriers (tariffs, unnecessary regulations, restrictions on investment etc.) in a wide range of economic sectors. Financial services have been included in the negotiations, however the main counterparties in the US (Treasury, Fed, CFTC) whereas the EU is in favour of covering financial services in the agreement. It is not clearly defined as yet what the negotiations regarding financial services will cover, but issues such as making substituted compliance / equivalence work better, formalisation of the existing dialogue and market access could be on the table.	At the end of April the EU published a 'state of play' document on the TTIP negotiations. It states that discussions on financial services continue, the focus being on establishing a framework for regulatory cooperation.	<ul> <li>Preserving existing exemptions (CVA in CRD IV)</li> <li>Ensuring regulatory convergence</li> </ul>

- Commission TTIP website
- Commission negotiating position on financial services



<u>SEPA</u>		
Content and legislative status	Latest developments	Issues from treasury perspective / EACT position
The Commission proposed a period of six months (until 1 August 2014) during which non-SEPA formats would still be allowed. The Regulation will have retroactive effect as from 31 January 2014. However, national authorities' approaches to this extension seem to have some differences. Regarding SEPA governance, the ECB has established the European Retail Payments Board (ERPB) which replaces the former SEPA Council.		

- SEPA Regulation
- Regulation 248/2014 amending the SEPA migration deadline
- ECB website on national SEPA migration plans



Markets in Financial Instruments (MiFID / MiFIR 2)		
Content and legislative status	Latest developments	Issues from treasury perspective / EACT position
MiFIR / MiFID 2 have been adopted and currently Level 2 measures are being developed by ESMA.	The Council officially approved the delay of entry into force of MiFID/R 2 to January 2018 (Council press release; MiFID text amending the dates; MiFIR text amending the dates)	
	As part of postponing the entry into force date, it has been clarified that non-financial companies using Multilateral Trading Facilities (MTFs) for their hedging transactions will continue to benefit from the exemption for dealing on won account, and will therefore not have to be MiFID-licensed.	
Key documents:		,

- MiFIR text
- MiFID text



Basel III / CRD IV		
Content and legislative status	Latest developments	Issues from treasury perspective / EACT position
Legislation on bank capital, liquidity and leverage	<ul> <li>Commissioner Dombrovskis has stated that EU would not implement "Basel 4" reforms – i.e. the Basel Committee's plans to limit the use of banks' internal models in the calculation of credit risk, and the use of standard capital floors – if these requirements lead to significant increases of required capital for EU banks. The Basel Committee is still aiming at finalizing the framework by the end of the year.</li> <li>The Commission is planning to issue a CRD V/ CRR II legislative proposal by the end of the year. This package would include the implementation of the leverage ratio, Net Stable Funding Ratio and Total Loss-Absorbing Capacity.</li> </ul>	
Key documents:		

• Commission CRD IV website



Country-by-country reporting		
Content and legislative status	Latest developments	Issues from treasury perspective / EACT position
Commission adopted a legislative proposal on corporate tax transparency for multinational companies. The proposal applies to both EU and non-EU multinationals operating in the EU with global revenues exceeding 750 million euros per year. The proposal would amend the current Accounting Directive and would oblige these companies to disclose publicly information on profits made and taxes paid on a country by country basis both for EU countries and for tax jurisdictions that do not abide by tax good governance standards (tax havens) and on an	Commission adopted the proposal and it will now be subject to the co-decision process by the Parliament and the Council	

• Text of the proposal



### Timeline of next steps and actions

	immediate	2016	2017	2018 and beyond
EMIR		Clearing obligation to gradually start mid 2016	Rules for margining non- centrally cleared OTC derivative transactions to be applied as of mid 2017	
FTT		Negotiations	Negotiations	Probable implementation (if any) likely not to take place before 2017/2018
CRD IV				
MiFID / MiFIR	Level 2 measures under development			Entry into force
Benchmarks	Level 2 measures under development			Entry into force
Bank structural separation		European Parliament to formulate its position - to be followed by trialogue negotiations	European Parliament to formulate its position - to be followed by trialogue negotiations	
PSD II			Entry into force two years after adoption	